Comprehensive Research and Reviews in
Multidisciplinary Studies
Journal homepage: https://crrjournals.com/crrms/
ISSN: 2961-3582 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Sociological barriers to equitable digital learning: A data-driven approach

Chidinma Favour Chikwe [1], Adebukola Olufunke Dagunduro [2], Olanike Abiola Ajuwon [3,*] and Ayo Amen Ediae [4]

[1] *Whitman School of Management, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York.*
[2] *Department of Industrial Relations and Personnel Management, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago Iwoye, Ogun State, Nigeria.*
[3] *Woodland High School, UK.*
[4] *International Organization for Migration, Edo State, Nigeria.*

Comprehensive Research and Reviews in Multidisciplinary Studies, 2024, 02(01), 027–034

Publication history: Received on 04 August 2024; revised on 13 September 2024; accepted on 16 September 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.57219/crrms.2024.2.1.0038

Abstract

This review paper examines the sociological barriers to equitable digital learning through a data-driven approach. By analyzing key sociological factors such as socioeconomic status, race, gender, and geographic location, the study identifies significant disparities in access to and outcomes of digital learning. Theoretical frameworks, including social and cultural capital and the digital divide, are used to contextualize these barriers. The paper synthesizes existing research, revealing how low-income, minority, and rural students face compounded challenges that hinder their educational progress in digital environments. Key findings highlight the urgent need for targeted policy interventions to bridge these gaps. Recommendations include increased funding for under-resourced schools, subsidized internet access, digital literacy training, and improved rural broadband infrastructure. Future research should focus on the intersectionality of these barriers and the long-term impact of digital learning disparities. The study underscores the importance of equitable digital learning in fostering an inclusive educational environment that prepares all students for the digital age.

Keywords: Digital learning; Sociological barriers; Digital divide; Socioeconomic status; Educational equity

1 Introduction

Digital learning has revolutionized the educational landscape, offering unprecedented access to information and learning opportunities. With the advent of the internet and digital technologies, education has transcended the confines of traditional classrooms, enabling learners to access resources and instruction from anywhere in the world (Reich, 2020). This shift has been particularly significant in recent years, as digital learning has become essential for continuing education amidst global disruptions such as the COVID-19 pandemic. The convenience, flexibility, and vast resources available through digital learning platforms have highlighted their importance in modern education systems (Cunha, Chuchu, & Maziriri, 2020).

Equitable digital learning ensures that all learners, regardless of socioeconomic status, race, gender, geographic location, or other sociological factors, have equal access to digital learning opportunities and resources (Azıonya & Nhedzı, 2021). It encompasses providing the necessary technological tools, such as computers and internet access, fostering digital literacy and creating inclusive educational content. The goal is to bridge the digital divide and ensure that digital learning benefits are accessible to all segments of society, thereby promoting fairness and inclusivity in education. Despite the advantages of digital learning, several sociological barriers hinder its equitable implementation. These barriers include socioeconomic disparities, which affect access to technology and reliable internet connections.

* Corresponding author: Olanike Abiola Ajuwon

Additionally, race, gender, and geographic location can influence the quality and availability of digital learning resources. Digital literacy also plays a crucial role; individuals from marginalized communities often lack the skills needed to navigate and benefit from digital learning platforms effectively. These sociological barriers create significant challenges in achieving equity in digital learning (Mathrani, Sarvesh, & Umer, 2022).

This study aims to explore and analyze the sociological barriers to equitable digital learning using a data-driven approach. By identifying and understanding these barriers, the study seeks to provide insights into how they impact learners and propose strategies for mitigating these challenges. The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform policy decisions, educational practices, and future research, ultimately contributing to a more inclusive and equitable digital learning environment. Addressing these barriers is crucial for ensuring that the benefits of digital learning are distributed fairly and that all learners can succeed.

2 Theoretical Framework

2.1 Relevant Sociological Theories on Education and Inequality

To comprehend the sociological barriers to equitable digital learning, it is crucial to consider the relevant sociological theories that address education and inequality. One foundational theory is Pierre Bourdieu's concept of social and cultural capital. Bourdieu posits that individuals from higher socioeconomic backgrounds possess more social and cultural capital, translating into better educational opportunities and outcomes (Jæger, 2022). Social capital refers to the networks and connections that provide support and resources, while cultural capital encompasses the skills, knowledge, and education individuals acquire. These forms of capital are vital in digital learning as they influence access to technology and digital literacy skills, shaping the overall educational experience (Audrin & Audrin, 2022).

Another pertinent theory is the digital divide, which highlights the gap between individuals with access to modern information and communication technology (ICT) and those without. Socioeconomic, racial, and geographic disparities often drive this divide. Theories of social stratification and systemic inequality also provide insights into how these disparities are perpetuated across generations. Understanding these theories helps identify the root causes of inequity in digital learning and develop strategies to address them (Cunha et al., 2020).

2.2 Conceptualizing Digital Learning Within a Sociological Context

Digital learning, when viewed through a sociological lens, reveals the complex interplay of various social factors that influence educational outcomes. The concept of technological determinism, which explores how technology shapes societal structures and individual behavior, is particularly relevant. While technology has the potential to democratize education, its benefits are unevenly distributed due to existing social inequalities (Zhao, Cao, Li, & Li, 2022).

From a sociological perspective, digital learning is not merely about access to technology but also about the ability to use it effectively. This involves digital literacy, which includes the skills required to navigate, evaluate, and create information using digital technologies. The lack of digital literacy among certain groups, often those from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, exacerbates educational disparities. Additionally, the quality of digital learning resources and the inclusivity of content play significant roles in determining the effectiveness of digital learning for different social groups (Ogunleye, 2024b).

The digital divide is a critical issue in the realm of digital learning. It refers to the gap between those with easy access to digital tools and the internet and those without. This divide is primarily influenced by socioeconomic status. Students from wealthier families are more likely to have access to personal computers, tablets, and high-speed internet at home, which enhances their learning experience. Conversely, students from low-income families often rely on public resources such as libraries, which may not always be available or equipped with the latest technology (Atobatele, Kpodo, & Eke, 2024c; Israni, Ellison, & Dillahunt, 2021).

Geographic location further exacerbates the digital divide. Rural areas, for instance, frequently suffer from inadequate internet infrastructure, making it difficult for students to participate in online learning (Saha, Dutta, & Sifat, 2021). This lack of access to technology creates significant barriers to educational equity, as students in these areas cannot fully benefit from digital learning opportunities. The digital divide also manifests in the quality of digital content available to different groups. Students from privileged backgrounds often access a wide range of high-quality, interactive learning resources, while those from underprivileged backgrounds may access only basic or outdated materials. This disparity in content quality further hinders the educational progress of disadvantaged students (Olanrewaju, Adebayo, Omotosho, & Olajide, 2021).

2.3 The Role of Social Capital and Cultural Capital in Digital Learning

Social capital and cultural capital play pivotal roles in digital learning. Social capital refers to the networks and relationships that provide individuals access to resources and support. In the context of digital learning, social capital can influence access to technology, information, and assistance. For instance, students from families with strong social networks may have better access to digital devices, technical support, and educational resources through their connections (Atobatele, Kpodo, & Eke, 2024a; Mishra, 2020).

On the other hand, cultural capital includes the knowledge, skills, and education that individuals possess, enabling them to navigate and succeed in the digital learning environment. Students from higher socioeconomic backgrounds often have more outstanding cultural capital, which includes familiarity with digital tools, higher levels of digital literacy, and the ability to engage with complex digital content. This advantage allows them to utilize digital learning resources more effectively and achieve better educational outcomes (Shonfeld et al., 2021).

The disparity in social and cultural capital between different socioeconomic groups results in unequal opportunities for digital learning. Students with limited social and cultural capital may struggle to access and benefit from digital learning platforms, widening the educational achievement gap. Addressing these disparities requires targeted interventions that enhance social and cultural capital among disadvantaged groups, such as providing digital literacy training and improving access to technology (Atobatele, Kpodo, & Eke, 2024b).

3 Literature Review

The research on digital learning and sociological barriers is extensive and multifaceted. Scholars have long examined how digital learning environments can perpetuate existing social inequalities. One key area of focus is the digital divide, which refers to the gap between individuals with access to modern information and communication technologies (ICT) and those without. Studies have consistently shown that this divide is primarily influenced by socioeconomic factors, with low-income households often lacking the resources to purchase necessary digital devices or afford reliable internet connections (Boys, 2022; Devkota, 2021; Helsper, 2021; Mathrani et al., 2022).

Research also highlights educational institutions' role in mitigating or exacerbating these disparities. Schools in affluent areas are more likely to have the infrastructure and resources to support digital learning, including up-to-date hardware, software, and high-speed internet access. In contrast, schools in underfunded areas struggle to provide even the most basic digital tools, which limits their students' ability to engage effectively with digital learning platforms (Faturoti, 2022; Roth, 2020; Rundel & Salemink, 2021).

The literature also explores the impact of digital learning on student outcomes. While digital learning has the potential to enhance educational opportunities by providing access to a wealth of resources and personalized learning experiences, it can also widen the achievement gap if not implemented equitably. Students who lack access to technology or digital literacy skills are at a significant disadvantage compared to their peers who have these advantages (Huang et al., 2020; Wekerle, Daumiller, & Kollar, 2022).

3.1 Studies on Socioeconomic Status and Access to Digital Learning

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a critical determinant of access to digital learning. Numerous studies have documented how SES influences students' ability to participate in and benefit from digital learning environments (Li, Peng, Yang, & Chen, 2020; Paulus, Spinath, & Hahn, 2021). For instance, Kwakye, Kibort-Crocker, Lundgren, and Pasion (2021) found that lower-income households are significantly less likely to have access to high-speed internet and personal computers compared to higher-income households. This disparity is particularly pronounced in rural areas, where internet infrastructure is often lacking.

Further studies highlight how these disparities impact educational outcomes. Students from low-SES backgrounds often face additional challenges that compound their lack of access to technology, such as limited parental support, lower levels of digital literacy, and fewer opportunities for academic enrichment outside of school. These factors hinder their engagement with digital learning resources, leading to lower academic achievement and reduced educational attainment (Jones & Smith, 2020). Programs to bridge the digital divide have shown some success, but challenges remain. Initiatives such as providing low-cost or free internet access, distributing digital devices to students in need, and offering digital literacy training are crucial steps toward ensuring more equitable access to digital learning. However, these efforts must be sustained and scaled to have a lasting impact (Atobatele et al., 2024c).

3.2 Influence of Race, Gender, and Geographic Location

The influence of race, gender, and geographic location on digital learning equity is another critical area of study. Research indicates that racial and ethnic minorities often face additional barriers to accessing digital learning. These barriers can be attributed to systemic inequalities that result in lower income levels, reduced access to quality education, and limited availability of technological resources in minority communities (Francis & Weller, 2022; Gandolfi, Ferdig, & Kratcoski, 2021).

Gender disparities in digital learning are also evident, though they vary by context. In some regions, cultural and societal norms restrict girls' access to technology and education, limiting their participation in digital learning. Studies have shown that girls are less likely than boys to pursue STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) subjects, which are critical for developing digital literacy and technological proficiency. Efforts to address these gender disparities include promoting female role models in STEM fields, providing targeted support for girls in technology-related subjects, and challenging cultural norms discouraging girls from engaging with technology (Hammond, Rubiano Matulevich, Beegle, & Kumaraswamy, 2020; Klimaitis & Mullen, 2021).

Geographic location, particularly in rural and remote areas, significantly affects access to digital learning. Students in these areas often face limited internet connectivity and a lack of technological infrastructure. Research has shown that rural schools are less likely to have the resources to implement effective digital learning programs, further disadvantaging students in these regions. Addressing these geographic disparities requires targeted investment in rural broadband infrastructure, support for rural schools, and policies that promote equitable access to technology across all regions (Kormos & Wisdom, 2021; Patrick, Grissom, Woods, & Newsome, 2021).

3.3 Barriers Related to Digital Literacy and Technological Proficiency

Digital literacy and technological proficiency are critical for effective participation in digital learning. However, research indicates significant disparities in these skills across different demographic groups. Digital literacy encompasses a range of skills, including the ability to use digital tools effectively, navigate online resources, evaluate information critically, and create digital content (Mouboua, Atobatele, & Akintayo, 2024; Ogunleye, 2024a). Studies have shown that students from low-SES backgrounds, racial minorities, and rural areas often have lower levels of digital literacy compared to their more advantaged peers. This disparity is partly due to limited access to technology and educational resources that support the development of digital skills. Additionally, these students may lack exposure to digital tools and environments in their everyday lives, further hindering their ability to develop proficiency (Lewis, 2023; Ren, Zhu, & Yang, 2022).

Educational initiatives focusing on building digital literacy are essential for addressing these barriers. Programs that integrate digital skills training into the curriculum provide professional development for teachers and offer extracurricular activities focused on technology, which can help bridge the gap. Furthermore, ensuring that digital learning resources are accessible and inclusive can support students with varying levels of digital proficiency (Gilligan, 2020; Kelly & Zakrajsek, 2023). Research gaps remain while significant progress has been made in understanding the sociological barriers to equitable digital learning. One significant gap is the lack of comprehensive, large-scale studies that examine the intersectionality of various sociological factors, such as how SES, race, gender, and geographic location collectively influence digital learning outcomes. Additionally, there is a need for more longitudinal studies that track students' digital learning experiences and outcomes over time to understand the long-term effects of these barriers better (Hense, Harst, Küster, Walther, & Schmitt, 2021).

A data-driven approach is crucial for addressing these research gaps. By leveraging large datasets and advanced analytical methods, researchers can uncover patterns and trends that may not be evident through qualitative research alone. This approach can provide more precise insights into the magnitude and nature of sociological barriers, informing targeted interventions and policies. Moreover, collaboration between researchers, educators, policymakers, and technology providers is essential for developing comprehensive solutions to the challenges identified. By working together, these stakeholders can ensure that digital learning environments are designed to be inclusive and equitable, providing all students with the opportunities they need to succeed in the digital age (McGill et al., 2021).

4 Data Analysis and Findings

4.1 Description of Data Sources and Data Collection Methods

This study draws on diverse data sources to examine the sociological barriers to equitable digital learning. The primary sources include national surveys, educational databases, and reports from non-governmental organizations (NGOs).

These sources provide comprehensive data on digital learning access, usage, and outcomes across different demographic groups. National surveys, such as those conducted by the Pew Research Center and the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), offer valuable insights into household access to technology and internet connectivity. Educational databases, including those maintained by the U.S. Department of Education, provide detailed information on school resources and student performance. Additionally, reports from NGOs, such as the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE), contribute qualitative data on digital learning practices and challenges.

4.2 Key Findings on Digital Learning Disparities

The analysis of these data sources reveals significant disparities in digital learning access and outcomes based on various sociological factors. One of the most striking findings is the correlation between socioeconomic status (SES) and access to digital learning resources. Students from higher-income families are significantly more likely to have access to personal computers, high-speed internet, and other digital devices compared to their lower-income peers.

Racial and ethnic disparities are also evident in the data. African American and Hispanic students are less likely to have access to essential digital learning tools compared to their White and Asian counterparts. This lack of access translates into lower engagement with digital learning platforms and, consequently, poorer educational outcomes. Geographic disparities further compound these issues, with rural students facing significant challenges accessing high-speed internet and digital devices.

4.3 Analysis of How Various Sociological Factors Contribute to Inequities

The data highlights how different sociological factors intersect to create compounded barriers to digital learning. Socioeconomic status emerges as a primary determinant, influencing access to technology and the quality of digital learning experiences. Students from low-income families often lack the necessary devices and internet connectivity and the digital literacy skills required to utilize these tools effectively. This skill gap is exacerbated by limited exposure to technology at home and in underfunded schools.

Race and ethnicity play a significant role in digital learning inequities. Minority students often attend schools with fewer resources and lower overall funding, which affects their access to up-to-date technology and high-quality digital content. Additionally, these students may encounter culturally irrelevant or biased content on digital learning platforms, hindering their engagement and academic progress.

Geographic location is another critical factor. Rural students face unique challenges due to inadequate infrastructure, which limits their ability to participate in online learning. The lack of high-speed internet in rural areas is a significant barrier, making it difficult for students to access digital learning resources, participate in virtual classes, and complete online assignments. This digital divide between urban and rural areas significantly contributes to educational inequities.

Several significant patterns and trends emerge from the data analysis. First, a clear and persistent correlation exists between socioeconomic status and digital learning access. Students from higher-income families consistently have better access to digital tools and resources, translating into better educational outcomes. This pattern underscores the critical need for targeted interventions to support low-income students and ensure they have the necessary resources to succeed in digital learning environments.

Second, racial and ethnic disparities in digital learning access and outcomes remain a significant concern. Minority students, particularly African American and Hispanic students, are disproportionately affected by the digital divide. This trend highlights the importance of addressing systemic educational inequalities and ensuring that all students, regardless of their racial or ethnic background, have equitable access to digital learning opportunities.

Third, geographic disparities, particularly between urban and rural areas, continue to impact digital learning equity. Rural students face significant challenges due to inadequate internet infrastructure and limited access to digital devices. This trend emphasizes the need for investment in rural broadband infrastructure and targeted support for rural schools to bridge the digital divide.

4.4 Interpretation of Findings Within the Sociological Framework

Interpreting these findings within a sociological framework provides deeper insights into the nature of digital learning inequities. The concept of social capital, which refers to the networks and resources available to individuals, is particularly relevant. Students from higher socioeconomic backgrounds often have more outstanding social capital, which provides them with better access to technology and support for digital learning. This advantage is further

amplified by their parents' higher levels of digital literacy and ability to provide a conducive learning environment at home.

Cultural capital, defined as individuals' knowledge, skills, and education, also plays a crucial role. Students from higher-income families typically have more outstanding cultural capital, which enables them to navigate and utilize digital learning platforms more effectively. Conversely, students from low-income families often lack the cultural capital to fully engage with digital learning resources, resulting in lower academic performance. As highlighted by the data, the digital divide manifests broader systemic inequalities. Socioeconomic, racial, and geographic disparities in digital learning access and outcomes reflect underlying social and economic inequities. Addressing these disparities requires a multifaceted approach that includes policy interventions, investment in infrastructure, and targeted support for disadvantaged students.

5 Conclusion

This research highlights significant disparities in digital learning access and outcomes, driven primarily by socioeconomic status, race, and geographic location. Students from higher-income families enjoy greater access to personal computers and high-speed internet, while their lower-income peers face substantial barriers. Racial and ethnic minorities, particularly African American and Hispanic students, are disproportionately affected by the digital divide. Geographic disparities are pronounced, with rural students struggling due to inadequate internet infrastructure. These findings underscore the critical need for targeted interventions to bridge these gaps and ensure all students have equitable access to digital learning opportunities.

Sociological barriers significantly impact digital learning equity. Socioeconomic status remains a primary determinant, influencing access to technology and digital literacy skills. Students from low-income families often lack the resources needed for effective digital learning, resulting in lower academic achievement. Racial and ethnic disparities further compound these challenges, with minority students frequently attending underfunded schools that lack essential digital resources. Geographic location exacerbates these inequities, particularly for rural students with limited internet connectivity and technological infrastructure. These barriers highlight the intersectionality of various sociological factors hindering equitable digital learning.

Recommendations

Addressing these sociological barriers requires comprehensive policy interventions. First, increasing funding for schools in low-income and rural areas can help provide the necessary digital tools and infrastructure. Programs that offer subsidized internet access and digital devices to low-income households are crucial. Additionally, integrating digital literacy training into the school curriculum can equip all students with the skills to navigate and utilize digital learning resources effectively. Policymakers should also promote inclusivity in digital content, ensuring that learning materials are culturally relevant and accessible to all students. Collaborating with technology providers to improve rural broadband infrastructure is essential to bridge the geographic digital divide.

Future research should focus on the intersectionality of sociological factors affecting digital learning. Longitudinal studies that track students' digital learning experiences and outcomes over time can provide valuable insights into the long-term impact of these barriers. Research should also explore the effectiveness of various interventions in mitigating digital learning disparities. Additionally, examining the role of parental involvement and community support in enhancing digital literacy and access can offer strategies for improving digital learning equity. Further studies are needed to understand the impact of digital learning environments on different demographic groups, particularly minority and rural students.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Atobatele, F. A., Kpodo, P. C., & Eke, I. O. (2024a). Faculty engagement in international student success: A review of best practices and strategies. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(3), 440-459.

[2] Atobatele, F. A., Kpodo, P. C., & Eke, I. O. (2024b). Strategies for enhancing international student retention: A critical literature review. *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology, 10*(2), 035-045.

[3] Atobatele, F. A., Kpodo, P. C., & Eke, I. O. (2024c). A systematic review of learning community impacts on international student success. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(3), 421-439.

[4] Audrin, C., & Audrin, B. (2022). Key factors in digital literacy in learning and education: a systematic literature review using text mining. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(6), 7395-7419.

[5] Azıonya, C. M., & Nhedzı, A. (2021). The digital divide and higher education challenge with emergency online learning: Analysis of tweets in the wake of the COVID-19 lockdown. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, 22*(4), 164-182.

[6] Boys, J. (2022). Exploring inequalities in the social, spatial and material practices of teaching and learning in pandemic times. *Postdigital Science and Education, 4*(1), 13-32.

[7] Cunha, M. N., Chuchu, T., & Maziriri, E. (2020). Threats, challenges, and opportunities for open universities and massive online open courses in the digital revolution. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 15*(12), 191-204.

[8] Devkota, K. R. (2021). Inequalities reinforced through online and distance education in the age of COVID-19: The case of higher education in Nepal. *International Review of Education, 67*(1), 145-165.

[9] Faturoti, B. (2022). Online learning during COVID19 and beyond: A human right based approach to internet access in Africa. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology, 36*(1), 68-90.

[10] Francis, D. V., & Weller, C. E. (2022). Economic inequality, the digital divide, and remote learning during COVID-19. *The Review of Black Political Economy, 49*(1), 41-60.

[11] Gandolfi, E., Ferdig, R. E., & Kratcoski, A. (2021). A new educational normal an intersectionality-led exploration of education, learning technologies, and diversity during COVID-19. *Technology in Society, 66*, 101637.

[12] Gilligan, J. (2020). *Competencies for educators in delivering digital accessibility in higher education.* Paper presented at the Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction. Applications and Practice: 14th International Conference, UAHCI 2020, Held as Part of the 22nd HCI International Conference, HCII 2020, Copenhagen, Denmark, July 19–24, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 22.

[13] Hammond, A., Rubiano Matulevich, E., Beegle, K., & Kumaraswamy, S. K. (2020). *The equality equation: Advancing the participation of women and girls in STEM*: World Bank.

[14] Helsper, E. (2021). The digital disconnect: The social causes and consequences of digital inequalities.

[15] Hense, H., Harst, L., Küster, D., Walther, F., & Schmitt, J. (2021). Implementing longitudinal integrated curricula: systematic review of barriers and facilitators. *Medical Education, 55*(5), 558-573.

[16] Huang, R., Ritzhaupt, A. D., Sommer, M., Zhu, J., Stephen, A., Valle, N., . . . Li, J. (2020). The impact of gamification in educational settings on student learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 68*, 1875-1901.

[17] Israni, A., Ellison, N. B., & Dillahunt, T. R. (2021). 'A Library of People' Online Resource-Seeking in Low-Income Communities. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 5*(CSCW1), 1-28.

[18] Jæger, M. M. (2022). Cultural capital and educational inequality: an assessment of the state of the art. *Handbook of Sociological Science*, 121-134.

[19] Jones, R., & Smith, D. J. (2020). Technology to Enhance Learning for All Students: Accessibility Issues and Internet Access for Low SES. In *Leveraging Technology to Improve School Safety and Student Wellbeing* (pp. 33-46): IGI Global.

[20] Kelly, K., & Zakrajsek, T. D. (2023). *Advancing online teaching: Creating equity-based digital learning environments*: Taylor & Francis.

[21] Klimaitis, C. C., & Mullen, C. A. (2021). Access and barriers to science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education for K–12 students with disabilities and females. *Handbook of social justice interventions in education*, 813-836.

[22] Kormos, E., & Wisdom, K. (2021). Rural schools and the digital divide: Technology in the learning experience. *Theory & Practice in Rural Education, 11*(1), 25-39.

[23] Kwakye, I., Kibort-Crocker, E., Lundgren, M., & Pasion, S. (2021). The Digital Divide: Examining High-Speed Internet and Computer Access for Washington Students. Education Insights. *Washington Student Achievement Council*.

[24] Lewis, L. S. (2023). *Literacy-based Instruction in Low-SES Schools During COVID-19 Distance Learning.* Azusa Pacific University,

[25] Li, H., Peng, M. Y.-P., Yang, M., & Chen, C.-C. (2020). Exploring the influence of learning motivation and socioeconomic status on college students' learning outcomes using self-determination theory. *Frontiers in psychology, 11*, 849.

[26] Mathrani, A., Sarvesh, T., & Umer, R. (2022). Digital divide framework: online learning in developing countries during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Globalisation, Societies and Education, 20*(5), 625-640.

[27] McGill, E., Er, V., Penney, T., Egan, M., White, M., Meier, P., . . . Smith, R. (2021). Evaluation of public health interventions from a complex systems perspective: a research methods review. *Social science & medicine, 272*, 113697.

[28] Mishra, S. (2020). Social networks, social capital, social support and academic success in higher education: A systematic review with a special focus on 'underrepresented'students. *Educational Research Review, 29*, 100307.

[29] Mouboua, P. D., Atobatele, F. A., & Akintayo, O. T. (2024). Multilingual education and social equity: A comparative study of integration policies in multicultural societies. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 19*(2), 032-042.

[30] Ogunleye, A. (2024a). Exploring Study Abroad with Traditionally Underrepresented Populations: Impacts of Institutional Types.

[31] Ogunleye, A. (2024b). Leveling Up the Mission: HBCUs' Potentials towards a Global US Study Abroad.

[32] Olanrewaju, G. S., Adebayo, S. B., Omotosho, A. Y., & Olajide, C. F. (2021). Left behind? The effects of digital gaps on e-learning in rural secondary schools and remote communities across Nigeria during the COVID19 pandemic. *International journal of educational research open, 2*, 100092.

[33] Patrick, S. K., Grissom, J. A., Woods, S. C., & Newsome, U. W. (2021). Broadband access, district policy, and student opportunities for remote learning during COVID-19 school closures. *AERA Open, 7*, 23328584211064298.

[34] Paulus, L., Spinath, F. M., & Hahn, E. (2021). How do educational inequalities develop? The role of socioeconomic status, cognitive ability, home environment, and self-efficacy along the educational path. *Intelligence, 86*, 101528.

[35] Reich, J. (2020). *Failure to disrupt: Why technology alone can't transform education*: Harvard University Press.

[36] Ren, W., Zhu, X., & Yang, J. (2022). The SES-based difference of adolescents' digital skills and usages: An explanation from family cultural capital. *Computers & Education, 177*, 104382.

[37] Roth, K. (2020). Technology in education: The ongoing debate of access, adequacy and equity.

[38] Rundel, C., & Salemink, K. (2021). Bridging digital inequalities in rural schools in Germany: A geographical lottery? *Education sciences, 11*(4), 181.

[39] Saha, A., Dutta, A., & Sifat, R. I. (2021). The mental impact of digital divide due to COVID-19 pandemic induced emergency online learning at undergraduate level: Evidence from undergraduate students from Dhaka City. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 294*, 170-179.

[40] Shonfeld, M., Cotnam-Kappel, M., Judge, M., Ng, C. Y., Ntebutse, J. G., Williamson-Leadley, S., & Yildiz, M. N. (2021). Learning in digital environments: a model for cross-cultural alignment. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 1-20.

[41] Wekerle, C., Daumiller, M., & Kollar, I. (2022). Using digital technology to promote higher education learning: The importance of different learning activities and their relations to learning outcomes. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 54*(1), 1-17.

[42] Zhao, L., Cao, C., Li, Y., & Li, Y. (2022). Determinants of the digital outcome divide in E-learning between rural and urban students: Empirical evidence from the COVID-19 pandemic based on capital theory. *Computers in Human Behavior, 130*, 107177.