

Comprehensive Research and Reviews in Multidisciplinary Studies

Journal homepage: https://crrjournals.com/crrms/ ISSN: 2961-3582 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Check for updates

Addressing gender inequality in tech workplaces: Challenges and opportunities

Kevin Namiiro Kuteesa ^{1,*}, Adebukola Olufunke Dagunduro ², Olanike Abiola Ajuwon ³ and Chidinma Favour Chikwe ⁴

¹ OSU Global, Oklahoma State University, USA.

² Department of Industrial Relations and Personnel Management, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago Iwoye, Ogun State, Nigeria.

³ Woodland High School, UK.

⁴ Whitman School of Management, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York.

Comprehensive Research and Reviews in Multidisciplinary Studies, 2024, 02(01), 019–026

Publication history: Received on 04 August 2024; revised on 13 September 2024; accepted on 16 September 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.57219/crrms.2024.2.1.0037

Abstract

Gender inequality in the tech industry remains a significant barrier to innovation and growth. This review paper explores the historical context and current landscape of gender inequality in tech workplaces, highlighting persistent issues such as biased hiring practices, career advancement barriers, and challenges related to workplace culture and work-life balance. The paper examines opportunities for addressing these inequalities through policy and regulatory changes, corporate initiatives, and educational and training programs. Recommendations for improvement include implementing comprehensive diversity and inclusion programs, enacting more substantial equal pay and antidiscrimination laws, and integrating tech education from an early age. Future research areas are suggested to understand the root causes of gender inequality better and evaluate the effectiveness of existing initiatives. Addressing gender inequality in tech is essential for equity and leveraging the full potential of a diverse workforce.

Keywords: Gender inequality; Tech industry; Diversity and inclusion; Career advancement; Workplace culture

1 Introduction

Gender inequality in the tech industry is a persistent issue that has garnered significant attention in recent years (Jayachandran, 2015). Despite technological advancements and a growing awareness of diversity and inclusion, the tech sector remains predominantly male-dominated. Women are underrepresented in technical roles and leadership positions and often face disparities in pay and career advancement opportunities. This inequality is not just a matter of numbers; it encompasses a range of challenges, including biased hiring practices, a lack of mentorship and sponsorship, and workplace cultures that may be unwelcoming or even hostile to women. The underrepresentation of women in tech is a social, ethical, and critical economic concern, as it hinders the industry's potential for innovation and growth (Powell, 2018; Thelma & Ngulube, 2024).

Addressing gender inequality in tech workplaces is crucial for several reasons. Firstly, diversity is a key driver of innovation. Diverse teams bring different perspectives and problem-solving approaches, leading to more creative solutions and better products. In an industry that thrives on innovation, failing to leverage the talents of half the population is a significant oversight. Secondly, gender inequality impacts the workforce's health (Buse, Evans, George, Ford, & Anderson, 2017). When women face barriers to entry and advancement, the tech industry loses out on a vast talent pool. This affects individual companies and the broader economy, as the tech sector significantly contributes to economic growth and development. Furthermore, promoting gender equality in tech can improve job satisfaction,

^{*} Corresponding author: Kevin Namiiro Kuteesa

Copyright © 2024 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

retention rates, and workplace morale, benefiting all employees, regardless of gender (Afolabi, 2024; Akpuokwe, Chikwe, & Eneh, 2024; Nishii, 2013).

The primary objective of this paper is to explore the challenges and opportunities related to addressing gender inequality in tech workplaces. By examining the historical context and current landscape, this paper aims to comprehensively understand the factors contributing to gender disparity in the tech industry. It will also highlight women's challenges, including workplace culture issues, career advancement barriers, and work-life balance difficulties. Additionally, the paper will identify opportunities for addressing these challenges through policy changes, corporate initiatives, and educational programs. Finally, the paper will recommend companies, policymakers, and educators to foster a more inclusive and equitable tech industry. By shedding light on these issues and proposing actionable solutions, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing efforts to achieve gender equality in the tech sector.

2 Historical Context and Current Landscape

2.1 Historical Overview

The tech industry, much like many other fields, has a history marked by gender disparity. In the early days of computing, women made significant contributions. During World War II, women were the primary workforce behind programming and codebreaking efforts, epitomized by figures like Ada Lovelace, who is often credited with being the first computer programmer, and the women of Bletchley Park who played a crucial role in decrypting Axis communications (van Huizen et al., 2020). However, as the tech industry grew and gained prestige in the post-war era, it became increasingly male-dominated. This shift was partly due to societal norms and stereotypes that positioned men more suited for technical and leadership roles, while women were often pushed into administrative or supportive roles (Bailey & Bailey, 2022).

The advent of personal computing in the 1970s and 1980s further solidified this gender divide. The marketing of personal computers primarily targeted boys and men, fostering a stereotype that computing was a male activity (Hacker, 2017). As a result, fewer women pursued computer science degrees, leading to a predominantly male workforce in the burgeoning tech industry. This gender imbalance became self-perpetuating, as male-dominated workplaces often developed cultures that were unwelcoming or even hostile to women, further discouraging female participation (Mgaguli, 2019; Moran, 2014).

2.2 Current Statistics

Today, the tech industry continues to grapple with significant gender inequality. Despite efforts to promote diversity, women remain underrepresented in tech roles. According to a 2022 National Center for Women & Information Technology (NCWIT) report, women hold only 26% of computing jobs. The disparity is even more pronounced in leadership positions; women comprise just 11% of Silicon Valley's executive roles, as the Kapor Center reported (Ashcraft & Blithe, 2009; Ashcraft, McLain, & Eger, 2016). The pay gap in the tech industry is another stark indicator of gender inequality. Women in tech earn, on average, 85 cents for every dollar earned by their male counterparts. This pay disparity persists across different job roles and levels of seniority, highlighting systemic issues beyond individual companies. Furthermore, women in tech are more likely to face workplace discrimination and harassment. Surveys found that 50% of women in STEM jobs reported experiencing gender discrimination, compared to 19% of men (Charlesworth & Banaji, 2019; Fry, Kennedy, & Funk, 2021).

2.3 Key Issues

Several key issues contribute to the persistent gender inequality in the tech industry. One of the primary issues is biased hiring and promotion practices. Studies have shown that resumes with male names are more likely to be considered for tech roles than identical resumes with female names. This bias extends to promotion practices, where women often must demonstrate higher performance levels than their male counterparts to achieve the same advancements.

Workplace culture is another significant barrier. Many tech companies have cultures that can be unwelcoming to women, characterized by a lack of diversity and inclusion initiatives. Instances of sexism, microaggressions, and harassment are not uncommon, creating hostile environments that can drive women away from the industry (Voigt, Unterfrauner, & Stelzer, 2017). The infamous "bro culture" in tech startups, where aggressive, competitive behavior is often rewarded, can be particularly alienating to women (Afolabi & Aghaunor, 2024; Watkins, 2019).

Lack of mentorship and sponsorship is also a critical issue. Mentorship and sponsorship are vital for career advancement, providing guidance, support, and opportunities for growth. However, women in tech often find it

challenging to access these resources. The scarcity of women in senior positions means fewer role models and mentors for aspiring female tech professionals. This lack of support can hinder career progression and contribute to the high attrition rates among women in tech (Ashcraft et al., 2016).

Work-life balance challenges further exacerbate gender inequality. The demanding work culture in many tech companies, characterized by long hours and an expectation of constant availability, can be particularly challenging for women, who often bear a disproportionate share of family and caregiving responsibilities. Insufficient parental leave policies and a lack of flexible working arrangements make it difficult for women to balance their professional and personal lives, leading to burnout and attrition (Boamah, Hamadi, Havaei, Smith, & Webb, 2022; Shanmugam & Agarwal, 2019). Finally, unconscious biases and stereotypes play a significant role in perpetuating gender inequality. Stereotypes that portray men as more suited for technical roles and leadership positions can influence hiring, promotion, and everyday workplace interactions. These biases are often unconscious, making them difficult to address without deliberate and sustained efforts (Chikwe, Eneh, & Akpuokwe, 2024; Tabassum & Nayak, 2021).

3 Challenges Faced by Women in Tech

3.1 Workplace Culture

Workplace culture plays a pivotal role in perpetuating gender inequality in the tech industry. The culture in many tech companies can be described as a "bro culture," characterized by aggressive competitiveness, which often marginalizes women. This culture not only discourages women from entering the field but also creates an environment where they are less likely to thrive. One of the most significant issues is sexism, which can manifest in various forms, from subtle microaggressions to overt discrimination. Women in tech often report experiences where their contributions are undervalued or overlooked, and their competence is questioned more frequently than their male counterparts (Dasgupta & Stout, 2014).

Harassment is another critical issue within tech workplaces. Studies have shown that a significant number of women in tech experience some form of harassment, ranging from inappropriate comments to more severe forms of sexual harassment. This hostile environment can lead to psychological distress, decreased job satisfaction, and a higher turnover rate among women. The lack of support systems within these companies exacerbates the problem. Many tech firms do not have adequate mechanisms in place to address complaints of harassment and discrimination, leaving victims without recourse and perpetuating a culture of silence and acceptance (Megarry, 2014; National Academies of Sciences & Medicine, 2018).

The absence of diversity and inclusion initiatives further entrenches these issues. Companies that fail to prioritize diversity often lack the frameworks to support and uplift women and other underrepresented groups. Without these initiatives, the cycle of gender inequality continues, as the workplace environment remains inhospitable to those who do not fit the traditional mold of the tech professional (Brummer & Strine Jr, 2022; Calvin, Mustapha, Afolabi, & Moriki, 2024; Chikwe et al., 2024).

3.2 Career Advancement Barriers

Career advancement for women in tech is often hindered by myriad barriers, with the "glass ceiling" being one of the most pervasive. The glass ceiling refers to the invisible barriers that prevent women from rising to the upper rungs of the corporate ladder, regardless of their qualifications or achievements. In the tech industry, this phenomenon is particularly pronounced. Women are underrepresented in leadership positions, with a meager percentage occupying executive roles. This lack of representation at the top creates a reinforcing cycle where the absence of female leaders discourages aspiring women from aiming for these positions (Ashcraft et al., 2016; Licea, 2013).

One of the primary reasons for this glass ceiling is biased promotion practices. Women often must work harder and prove themselves more than their male colleagues to receive the same recognition and opportunities. Performance evaluations can be skewed by unconscious biases, where the same traits praised in men, such as assertiveness and ambition, are viewed negatively in women. This double standard makes it difficult for women to advance at the same rate as their male peers (Romero, 2021).

The lack of mentorship and sponsorship also significantly impedes career progression for women in tech. Mentorship provides guidance and support, while sponsorship involves senior leaders advocating for their protégés, opening doors to new opportunities. However, women in tech often find it challenging to secure mentors and sponsors, particularly those in senior positions who can substantially impact their careers. The scarcity of female role models and mentors

means that many women lack the support network necessary to navigate the complexities of career advancement in the tech industry (Block & Tietjen-Smith, 2016; Hill & Wheat, 2017).

3.3 Work-Life Balance

Achieving a work-life balance is another major challenge for women in tech. The demanding nature of the tech industry, characterized by long hours and high expectations, can be particularly taxing for women, who often bear a disproportionate share of family and caregiving responsibilities. Insufficient parental leave policies and a lack of flexible working arrangements in many tech companies exacerbate this imbalance.

Parental leave policies in the tech industry often fail to provide the support women need to balance their professional and personal lives. Many companies offer limited maternity leave, and even fewer provide adequate paternity leave, placing the childcare burden predominantly on women. This lack of support can lead to women taking extended breaks from their careers, hindering their professional development and making it difficult to return to the workforce at the same level (Canaan, Lassen, Rosenbaum, & Steingrimsdottir, 2022; Ediae, Chikwe, & Kuteesa, 2024).

Flexible working arrangements, such as the ability to work from home or have flexible hours, are crucial for helping women manage their dual responsibilities. However, these arrangements are not universally available, and even when they are, there can be an unspoken stigma associated with using them. Women who take advantage of flexible working options may be perceived as less committed or capable, negatively impacting their career prospects. The challenges related to work-life balance contribute to higher attrition rates among women in tech. Many talented women leave the industry not because they lack the skills or ambition but because the job demands are incompatible with their responsibilities. This loss of talent is detrimental not only to the individuals affected but also to the companies and the tech industry as a whole, which miss out on the diverse perspectives and innovative contributions that women bring to the table (Boamah et al., 2022; Vo, Lavissière, & Lavissière, 2023).

4 Opportunities for Addressing Gender Inequality

4.1 Policy and Regulatory Changes

Promoting gender equality in the tech industry requires significant policy and regulatory changes. Governments and regulatory bodies are crucial in establishing frameworks that enforce and encourage equitable practices. One of the most effective approaches is the implementation of equal pay legislation. Equal pay laws ensure that men and women receive the same remuneration for the same work, addressing the persistent pay gap in the tech sector. For instance, the United States has the Equal Pay Act of 1963, which mandates equal pay for equal work regardless of gender. However, enforcing these laws and ensuring compliance across all levels of the tech industry remains a challenge. Strengthening these laws and introducing stricter penalties for non-compliance can drive more significant change.

Anti-discrimination laws are equally important in promoting gender equality. These laws prohibit discrimination based on gender in hiring, promotion, and other employment practices. For example, the Civil Rights Act of 1964 in the United States includes provisions that protect employees from gender-based discrimination. However, these laws must be continually updated and rigorously enforced to address the evolving nature of workplace discrimination. Enhanced protections and more precise guidelines on gender discrimination can help create more inclusive work environments.

Moreover, policies that promote transparency in hiring and promotion practices can be instrumental in reducing gender bias. Requiring companies to disclose salary ranges for positions and report on gender representation in various roles can help identify and address disparities. Countries like Iceland have taken significant steps by implementing laws that require companies to prove they pay men and women equally rather than relying on employees to bring forth claims of unequal pay. Such proactive measures ensure that companies actively work towards closing the gender pay gap and promoting equality (Mustapha, Ojeleye, & Afolabi, 2024).

4.2 Corporate Initiatives

In addition to regulatory changes, corporate initiatives are crucial in addressing gender inequality in the tech industry. Many forward-thinking companies have launched successful programs to foster gender diversity and inclusion. One prominent example is Google's Women Techmakers program, which provides visibility, community, and resources for women in technology. This initiative includes scholarships, mentorship opportunities, and support for women-led startups, helping to create a more inclusive tech ecosystem.

Another effective corporate strategy is the establishment of Employee Resource Groups (ERGs). ERGs are voluntary, employee-led groups that aim to foster a diverse, inclusive workplace aligned with the organizations they serve. For instance, Microsoft's Women at Microsoft ERG provides a platform for women to connect, share experiences, and support each other's career growth. These groups often play a critical role in advocating for policy changes within the company and creating a supportive community for women employees (Calvin et al., 2024).

Corporate mentorship and sponsorship programs are also vital. Mentorship provides guidance and support, while sponsorship involves senior leaders actively advocating for their protégés' career advancement. Companies like IBM have implemented mentorship programs that pair senior leaders with high-potential female employees, providing them with the support needed to navigate their careers successfully. These programs help break down the barriers women often face in leadership positions.

Furthermore, implementing diversity training programs can help address unconscious biases and promote a more inclusive workplace culture. These training programs educate employees about the benefits of diversity and the importance of creating an equitable work environment. They also provide tools and strategies for recognizing and addressing unconscious biases in everyday interactions. Companies like Facebook have implemented unconscious bias training as part of their diversity and inclusion efforts, helping to create a more aware and supportive workplace (Afolabi & Aghaunor, 2024).

4.3 Educational and Training Programs

Education and training programs are fundamental in encouraging more women to pursue careers in tech. These programs can help address the gender gap from an early age and provide women with the skills and confidence needed to succeed in the tech industry. Initiatives like Girls Who Code and Black Girls Code are exemplary. These programs aim to close the gender gap in technology by providing young girls with coding skills, mentorship, and exposure to tech careers. By targeting girls at a young age, these programs help build a pipeline of future female tech professionals (Bethune, 2020; Helman, Bear, & Colwell, 2020).

Scholarships and internship opportunities for women in tech are also critical. Many universities and tech companies offer scholarships specifically for women pursuing degrees in computer science and related fields. For instance, the Google Anita Borg Memorial Scholarship supports women who excel in computing and technology and are active role models and leaders. Internships provide practical experience and can often lead to full-time employment. Offering internships specifically for women can help them gain the necessary experience and make valuable industry connections (Tedrick, 2020).

Additionally, retraining and upskilling programs for women looking to return to the workforce after a career break can make a significant impact. Programs like the IBM Tech Re-Entry program provide training and support for women returning to tech careers after a hiatus. These programs help women update their skills, build confidence, and reintegrate into the tech workforce (Krueger, 2020).

Finally, partnerships between educational institutions and tech companies can create more opportunities for women in tech. Collaborative initiatives such as hackathons, coding boot camps, and tech workshops can provide women with hands-on experience and exposure to industry professionals. For example, the partnership between MIT and Facebook for the MIT Reality Virtually Hackathon provides a platform for women to innovate and showcase their skills in virtual reality technology (Del Carpio & Guadalupe, 2022).

5 Recommendations and Future Directions

5.1 Strategies for Improvement

Addressing gender inequality in tech workplaces requires a concerted effort from companies, policymakers, and educators. Companies should implement comprehensive diversity and inclusion (D&I) programs. These programs should include mandatory training to educate employees about unconscious biases and how they impact workplace dynamics. Additionally, companies should establish clear and transparent criteria for hiring and promotion to ensure fairness and accountability. Creating Employee Resource Groups (ERGs) can provide support networks for women and other underrepresented groups, fostering a sense of community and belonging.

Policymakers can contribute by enacting and enforcing more vital equal pay and anti-discrimination laws. These laws should require companies to regularly report gender pay gap data and outline strategies for addressing disparities.

Introducing incentives for companies that demonstrate significant progress in gender equality can also encourage more proactive efforts. Additionally, expanding parental leave policies to be more inclusive and supportive of both mothers and fathers can help balance caregiving responsibilities, making it easier for women to remain in the workforce.

Educators play a crucial role in shaping the future of the tech industry. Integrating computer science and technology courses into the K-12 curriculum can spark interest in tech careers from an early age. Schools and universities should actively promote tech programs for female students and provide scholarships and funding for women pursuing STEM degrees. Mentorship programs connecting students with industry professionals can offer guidance and inspiration, helping young women envision and work towards successful tech careers.

5.2 Future Research Areas

Further research is essential to understand and address the root causes of gender inequality in the tech industry. One area of interest is the impact of workplace culture on women's career progression. Studies could investigate how different cultural factors, such as leadership styles and team dynamics, affect the retention and advancement of women in tech. Another critical research area is the effectiveness of existing D&I initiatives. By evaluating which programs most successfully promote gender equality, companies can adopt best practices and avoid strategies that do not yield results.

Research into the intersectionality of gender with other identities, such as race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation, is also critical. Understanding the unique challenges faced by women from diverse backgrounds can help create more targeted and effective interventions. Additionally, exploring the long-term career trajectories of women in tech can provide insights into the factors that influence sustained success and the points at which women are most likely to leave the industry.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Afolabi, S. (2024). Perceived effect of insecurity on the performance of women entrepreneurs in nigeria. FUW-International Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 9(2).
- [2] Afolabi, S., & Aghaunor, C. T. (2024). Women leadership, financial literacy and performance of small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22(2), 2239-2253.
- [3] Akpuokwe, C. U., Chikwe, C. F., & Eneh, N. E. (2024). Leveraging technology and financial literacy for women's empowerment in SMEs: A conceptual framework for sustainable development. Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances, 18(3), 020-032.
- [4] Ashcraft, C., & Blithe, S. (2009). National Center for Women & Information Technology (NCWIT).
- [5] Ashcraft, C., McLain, B., & Eger, E. (2016). Women in tech: The facts: National Center for Women & Technology (NCWIT) Colorado, CO, USA.
- [6] Bailey, J., & Bailey, J. (2022). Communication: Telephone, Computers and WWW. Inventive Geniuses Who Changed the World: Fifty-Three Great British Scientists and Engineers and Five Centuries of Innovation, 363-401.
- [7] Bethune, A. (2020). Thinking and Designing at the Intersection of Technology and Civics: A Case Study of an International Civics Coding Camp for Girls. Northwestern University,
- [8] Block, B. A., & Tietjen-Smith, T. (2016). The case for women mentoring women. Quest, 68(3), 306-315.
- [9] Boamah, S. A., Hamadi, H. Y., Havaei, F., Smith, H., & Webb, F. (2022). Striking a balance between work and play: The effects of work–life interference and burnout on faculty turnover intentions and career satisfaction. International journal of environmental research and public health, 19(2), 809.
- [10] Brummer, C., & Strine Jr, L. E. (2022). Duty and diversity. Vand. L. Rev., 75, 1.
- [11] Buse, K., Evans, N., George, E., Ford, J., & Anderson, J. (2017). Playbook on Best Practices: Gender Equity in Tech. American Association of University Women.

- [12] Calvin, O. Y., Mustapha, H. A., Afolabi, S., & Moriki, B. S. (2024). Abusive leadership, job stress and SMES employees' turnover intentions in Nigeria: Mediating effect of emotional exhaustion. International Journal of Intellectual Discourse, 7(1), 146-166.
- [13] Canaan, S., Lassen, A., Rosenbaum, P., & Steingrimsdottir, H. (2022). Maternity leave and paternity leave: Evidence on the economic impact of legislative changes in high income countries.
- [14] Charlesworth, T. E., & Banaji, M. R. (2019). Gender in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics: Issues, causes, solutions. Journal of Neuroscience, 39(37), 7228-7243.
- [15] Chikwe, C. F., Eneh, N. E., & Akpuokwe, C. U. (2024). Navigating the double bind: Strategies for women leaders in overcoming stereotypes and leadership biases. GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 18(3), 159-172.
- [16] Dasgupta, N., & Stout, J. G. (2014). Girls and women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics: STEMing the tide and broadening participation in STEM careers. Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 1(1), 21-29.
- [17] Del Carpio, L., & Guadalupe, M. (2022). More women in tech? Evidence from a field experiment addressing social identity. Management Science, 68(5), 3196-3218.
- [18] Ediae, A. A., Chikwe, C. F., & Kuteesa, K. N. (2024). Empowering youth through sexuality and leadership education: Approaches and outcomes. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22(1), 1250-1265.
- [19] Fry, R., Kennedy, B., & Funk, C. (2021). STEM jobs see uneven progress in increasing gender, racial and ethnic diversity. Pew Research Center, 1.
- [20] Hacker, S. (2017). Pleasure, power and technology: Some tales of gender, engineering, and the cooperative workplace: Routledge.
- [21] Helman, A., Bear, A., & Colwell, R. (2020). Promising practices for addressing the underrepresentation of women in science, engineering, and medicine: opening doors.
- [22] Hill, L. H., & Wheat, C. A. (2017). The influence of mentorship and role models on university women leaders' career paths to university presidency. The Qualitative Report, 22(8), 2090-2111.
- [23] Jayachandran, S. (2015). The roots of gender inequality in developing countries. Annual review of economics, 7(1), 63-88.
- [24] Krueger, S. F. (2020). The Glass Ceiling: Exploring the leadership journey of men and women in High-Tech.
- [25] Licea, I. L. (2013). Today's glass ceiling: Executive women's experiences and perceptions regarding career advancement into executive leadership positions in transportation. University of La Verne,
- [26] Megarry, J. (2014). Online incivility or sexual harassment? Conceptualising women's experiences in the digital age. Paper presented at the Women's Studies International Forum.
- [27] Mgaguli, B. (2019). Barriers encountered by women in career development towards senior management positions in management industry. Cape Peninsula University of Technology,
- [28] Moran, C. (2014). What helps and hinders women doing well in historically male-dominated careers: a critical incident study. University of British Columbia,
- [29] Mustapha, A. H., Ojeleye, Y. C., & Afolabi, S. (2024). Workforce diversity and employee performance in telecommunication companies in nigeria: Can self efficacy accentuate the relationship? FUW-International Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 9(1), 44-67.
- [30] National Academies of Sciences, E., & Medicine. (2018). Sexual Harassment of Women: Climate, Culture, and Consequences in Academic Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine-FAQ.
- [31] Nishii, L. H. (2013). The benefits of climate for inclusion for gender-diverse groups. Academy of Management journal, 56(6), 1754-1774.
- [32] Powell, G. N. (2018). Women and men in management: Sage Publications.
- [33] Romero, G. J. (2021). Just Not that Likable: The Price All Women Pay for Gender Bias: Post Hill Press.
- [34] Shanmugam, M. M., & Agarwal, B. (2019). Support perceptions, flexible work options and career outcomes: a study of working women at the threshold of motherhood in India. Gender in Management: An International Journal, 34(4), 254-286.

- [35] Tabassum, N., & Nayak, B. S. (2021). Gender stereotypes and their impact on women's career progressions from a managerial perspective. IIM Kozhikode Society & Management Review, 10(2), 192-208.
- [36] Tedrick, S. (2020). Women of Color in Tech: A Blueprint for Inspiring and Mentoring the Next Generation of Technology Innovators: John Wiley & Sons.
- [37] Thelma, C. C., & Ngulube, L. (2024). Women in Leadership: Examining Barriers to Women's Advancement in Leadership Positions. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports, 18(6), 273-290.
- [38] van Huizen, N., Kemper, P., Stoelinga, M., Schaafstal, A., Huisman, M., & Lathouwers, S. (2020). Alice & Eve: A celebration of women in computing.
- [39] Vo, L.-C., Lavissière, M. C., & Lavissière, A. (2023). Retaining talent in the maritime sector by creating a workfamily balance logic: implications from women managers navigating work and family. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 53(1), 133-155.
- [40] Voigt, C., Unterfrauner, E., & Stelzer, R. (2017). Diversity in fablabs: culture, role models and the gendering of making. Paper presented at the Internet Science: 4th International Conference, INSCI 2017, Thessaloniki, Greece, November 22-24, 2017, Proceedings 4.
- [41] Watkins, S. C. (2019). Don't knock the hustle: Young creatives, tech ingenuity, and the making of a new innovation economy: Beacon Press.